

REMARKS


Receipt of the Office Action of June 24, 2005 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 6 - 8 and 11 have been examined, with claims 1 - 5 and 10 withdrawn from consideration in this application. Claims 6 - 8 and 11 have been rejected as indefinite under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. In his rejection, the examiner has posed a number of questions. These have been carefully considered resulting in the amendment to claims 6 and 11. The amendments to claims 6 and 11 can be understood by referring to annotated copies of Figs. 3 and 8. A consideration of these annotated figures should render claims 6 and 11, and claims 7 and 8 also definite.

If, after considering the amended claims in conjunction with the annotated figures, the examiner is still of the opinion that these claims are not clear, he is invited to contact the undersigned for the purpose of arranging for an interview to discuss whatever the examiner believes is not clear.

Date: September 26, 2005

Respectfully submitted,
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC


Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Registration No: 25,721

BACON & THOMAS
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Phone: (703) 683-0500

S:\Producer\fjd\CLIENTS\Osaka International, Suzue Patent\1000\Sept 26 2005 response.wpd

